

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/560,385	Applicant(s) ORCHARD, MICHAEL GLEN
	Examiner JOHN MABRY	Art Unit 1625
All Participants:	Status of Application: ____	
(1) <u>JOHN MABRY</u> . (2) <u>Brittany La</u> .	(3) _____. (4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>11 July 2011</u>	Time: <u>11:45 am</u>	
<p>Type of Interview:</p> <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative) </p> <p> Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: </p> <p>Part I.</p> <p>Rejection(s) discussed: <i>obviousness double patenting rejection</i></p> <p>Claims discussed: <i>all pending claims</i></p> <p>Prior art documents discussed: <i>n/a</i></p> <p>Part II.</p> <p>SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>See Continuation Sheet</i></p> <p>Part III.</p> <p> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. </p>		
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> /John Mabry/ Examiner, Art Unit 1625 </div> <div> (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) </div> </div>		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In order to expedite the prosecution of this case, Examiner contacted Attorney Brittany La to the discuss the following:

a) withdrawal of the obviousness type double patenting reject and allowance of compounds and pharmaceutical composition claim; b) rejoinder of process of making claims; and cancellation of method claims - in order for pending claims to be allowed. Attny La consulted with client and gave Examiner authorization for aforementioned actions.